### South Norfolk Village Clusters Site Assessment Form – Assessment Criteria

**PART 3: SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT CRITERIA** based upon the assessment criteria set out in the Appendix A of the 'Norfolk Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment (July 2016) methodology

Access to the site		
<b>RED</b> No possibility of creating access to the site	AMBER There are potential access constraints, but these could be overcome through development	<b>GREEN</b> Access by all means is possible
Source: NCC Highways		

Accessibility to local services and facilities – UPDATED ASSESSMENT CRITERIA		
RED	AMBER	GREEN
No core services within	One or two core services	Access to three or more core
1,800m of the site, and	within 1,800m, and 3,000m for	services within 1,800m, and
3,000m for school access and	school access and	3,000m for school access and
employment, or no ability to	employment.	employment.
provide/ fund appropriate new		
core services.		

The village clusters assessment includes an expanded list of local services and facilities that are considered to be important considerations in determining the suitability of a site for development. These services and facilities will also have a determining factor in the market attractiveness of a site. In assessing sites against this measure, accessibility to the following core services will be considered (those services/ facilities listed in red form the expanded list):

- A primary school
- A secondary school
- A local healthcare service
- Retail and service provision for day to day needs (village shop)
- Local employment opportunities (principally existing employment sites, but designated or proposed employment area in a local plan will be considered)
- A peak-time public transport service to/from a higher order settlement (peak time for the purposes of this criterion will be 7 9am and 4 6pm)
- Village/ community hall
- Public house/ café
- Preschool facilities
- Formal sports/ recreation facilities

Source: NCC Education; Village Facilities Audit, Travelline

Utilities Capacity		
RED	AMBER	GREEN
No available utilities capacity	No available capacity but	Sufficient utility capacities
and no potential for	potential for improvements to	available
improvements	facilitate capacity	
Source: Consultation with providers		

Utilities Infrastructure		
RED	AMBER	GREEN
N/A	Utilities infrastructure present	No constraints from utilities
	on the site that could affect	infrastructure
	the development potential	
Source: UNIFORM, Consultation with providers		

Better Broadband for Norfolk - NEW ASSESSMENT CRITERIA		
<b>RED</b> The site lies outside the proposed fibre installation areas	AMBER The site lies within the proposed fibre installation area	<b>GREEN</b> The site is within the area already served by fibre technology
Source: NCC, http://norfolkcc.maps.arcgis.com/apps/Minimalist/index.html?appid=72f1b296dbf642bba45a7aa 7ee189a54		

Identified ORSTED Cable Route - NEW ASSESSMENT CRITERIA		
RED	AMBER	GREEN
The site lies within the	The site is immediately	The site is unaffected by the
identified ORSTED cable	adjacent to, or partly within, the identified ORSTED cable route	identified ORSTED cable route
Source: NSIP Application		

Contamination and ground stability		
RED	AMBER	GREEN
N/A	The site is potentially	The site is unlikely to be
	contaminated or has potential	contaminated and has no
	ground stability issues that	known ground stability issues
	could be mitigated	
Source: Environmental Services, UNIFORM		

Flood Risk		
RED	AMBER	GREEN
The site is within the	The site is within flood zones 2	The site is at low risk of
functional flood plan (zone 3b)	or 3a (taking into account	flooding (within Zone 1)
	climate change) and/or is	
	within an area at high,	
	medium or low risk from	
	surface water flooding	
Source: UNIFORM, LLFA, Environmental Services, EA		

#### IMPACT ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

Landscape - UPDATED ASSESSMENT CRITERIA		
RED	AMBER	GREEN
The site would have a	Development of the site would	Development of the site would
detrimental impact on the	have a detrimental impact on	have either a neutral or
landscape that can not be	the landscape which could be	positive impact, but
mitigated.	mitigated.	importantly not have an
		impact on the landscape.

## SN Landscape Types and Landscape Character Areas

The Landscape Type is a checklist that identifies the Landscape Type as per the 2001 South Norfolk Land Use Consultants Study (updated by the Chris Blandford Associated 2012 South Norfolk Local Landscape Designations Review). The Landscape Types and Landscape Character Areas identify and describe the variations in landscape character across the whole of the district. The Landscape Types present an integrated view of the landscape, identifying the features and attributes that contribute to the special and distinctive character of South Norfolk District.

Landscape Character Areas are discrete geographical areas identified within the above Landscape Types and provide an understanding of the character of the landscape. The purpose of the Landscape Character Areas is to identify distinctive features or characteristics that are important to the landscape and to provide guidance on those aspects of the landscape that are most sensitive to change.

The RED/ AMBER/ GREEN Site Score will assess the compatibility of a site against the relevant key characteristics identified in the South Norfolk Place-Making Guide SPD (2012), which is based on the South Norfolk Landscape Character Assessment Types and Areas.

To be consistent with the approach taken by the GNLP, land identified as being the most versatile agricultural land will be classified as Amber, irrespective of its impact on the landscape.

*Source:* 2001 South Norfolk Land Use Consultants Study & 2012 South Norfolk Local Landscape Designations Review, Landscape Officer, UNIFORM, MAGIC (for Agricultural Land Classification).

Townscape		
<b>RED</b> Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on townscapes which cannot be mitigated	AMBER Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on townscapes which could be mitigated	<b>GREEN</b> Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact, on townscapes
Source: Conservation Area Appraisals, UNIFORM, Conservation Officer		

Biodiversity & Geodiversity		
RED	AMBER	GREEN
Development of the site would	Development of the site may	Development of the site would
have a detrimental impact on	have a detrimental impact on	not have a detrimental impact
designated sites, protected	a designated site, protected	on any designated site,
species or ecological networks	species or ecological network	protected species or ecological
which cannot be reasonably	but the impact could be	networks
mitigated or compensated as	reasonably mitigated or	
appropriate	compensated	
Exceptions: UNIFORM, MAGIC for consultation zones, Consultation with NE, NWT, EA		

Historic Environment		
RED	AMBER	GREEN
Development of the site would	Development of the site could	Development of the site would
cause substantial harm to a	have a detrimental impact on	either have a neutral or
designated or non-designated	a designated or non-	positive impact, but
heritage asset or the setting of	designated heritage asset or	importantly not have a
a designated or non-	the setting of a designated or	detrimental impact on any
designated heritage asset	non-designated heritage asset,	designated or non-designated
which cannot be reasonably	but the impact could be	heritage assets
mitigated	reasonably mitigated	
Source: UNIFORM, HES, Conservation Officer		

	Open Space	
RED	AMBER	GREEN
Development of the site would	Development of the site would	Development of the site would
result in a loss of open space	result in a loss of open space	not result in the loss of any
which is either not surplus to	which is surplus to	open space
requirements or could not be	requirements or could be	
replaced locally	replaced locally	

Source: UNIFORM

	Transport and Roads	
<b>RED</b> Development of the site would have an unacceptable impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads that cannot be reasonably mitigated	AMBER Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated	<b>GREEN</b> Development of the site will not have a detrimental impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads
Source: UNIFORM; NCC Highway	/S	

	Neighbouring Land Uses	
RED	AMBER	GREEN
Neighbouring/ adjoining uses	Development of the site could	Development would be
to the proposed site would be	have issues of compatibility	compatible with existing
incompatible with the	with neighbouring/ adjoining	and/or adjoining uses
proposed development type	uses; however, these could be	
with no scope for mitigation	reasonably mitigated	
Source: UNIFORM, Online satelli	te mapping	

Site Visit Observations – NEW ASSESSMENT CRITERIA		
RED	AMBER	GREEN
There are overriding	There are some constraints or	There are limited constraints
constraints that mean the site	limitations, however there is	and likely acceptable
is unacceptable	the potential that further	
	information or investigation	
	could address them	

Local Plan Designations - NEW ASSESSMENT CRITERIA		
<b>RED</b> The site lies within an existing land use designation which is proposed for retention (e.g., employment use)	AMBER N/A	<b>GREEN</b> Development of the site does not conflict with any existing or proposed land use designations
Source: UNIFORM		

#### PART 6: SITE SCORE - AVAILABILITY AND ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

#### Site Ownership

# Marketing

RED	AMBER	GREEN
Whilst the site has been	There is no known reason that	The site is known to be
promoted through the Call for	the site could not progress	available immediately (within
Sites, indications are that the	within the time period of the	the first 5-years of the Plan
site would not be developed	Plan. For sites previously	period) and has no significant
within the required time	allocated but not developed,	constraints or encumbrances
period, or the site has	discussions have taken place	which may prevent
previously been allocated but	with the promoter/ a	development in a timely
has not been subject any	developer indicating a firm	manner. If requested, the site
discussions with Officers about	commitment to its delivery	promoter has confirmed site
its delivery.	within the Plan period.	availability within this period.

Evidence submitted to s	support site deliverability – NEW	ASSESSMENT CRITERIA
RED	AMBER	GREEN
Evidence that has been	Some evidence to support the	The site promoter has
requested to support the	deliverability of the site,	provided appropriate evidence
deliverability of the site and (a)	including infrastructure that	supporting the deliverability of
has not been provided or (b)	will be required to facilitate	the site, confirming the
demonstrated that delivery of	the development has been	viability of the site including
the site is not viable whilst	submitted. Additional	the provision of all known
meeting other policy criteria	evidence still likely to be	infrastructure required to
(e.g. an appropriate housing	required to demonstrate the	facilitate policy-compliant
mix).	full policy-compliant	delivery of the development.
	deliverability of the site and its	
	infrastructure.	

On-site/ off-site Improvements - NEW ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

RED	AMBER	GREEN
The extent of either the on-	Significant on-site or off-site	Limited on-site or off-site
site or off-site improvements	improvements may be	improvements works are
that are required to mitigate	required to mitigate the	considered to be necessary to
the development of the site	development of the site.	mitigate the development of
are substantial and are	Further evidence may be	the site. The site is still
considered to make the site	required for the site to	considered to be a viable
undeliverable.	progress further.	development site.
Viability of Af	fordable Housing – NEW ASSESSN	AENT CRITERIA
Viability of Af	fordable Housing – NEW ASSESSN	IENT CRITERIA
Viability of Af	fordable Housing – NEW ASSESSN	MENT CRITERIA GREEN
	-	
RED	AMBER	GREEN
<b>RED</b> The promoter of the site has	AMBER The promoter of the site has	<b>GREEN</b> The site promoter has
<b>RED</b> The promoter of the site has indicated that the site will not	AMBER The promoter of the site has advised that the affordable	<b>GREEN</b> The site promoter has provided appropriate evidence
<b>RED</b> The promoter of the site has indicated that the site will not achieve the required	AMBER The promoter of the site has advised that the affordable housing contribution can be	<b>GREEN</b> The site promoter has provided appropriate evidence to support the delivery of the
<b>RED</b> The promoter of the site has indicated that the site will not achieve the required affordable housing	AMBER The promoter of the site has advised that the affordable housing contribution can be met on site but has not	<b>GREEN</b> The site promoter has provided appropriate evidence to support the delivery of the required affordable housing

housing.